From: Martin Griffiths

Sent: 20 February 2023 12:26

To: AwelyMor

Subject:

Importance: High

Categories: Deadline

Hi Jake,

Please see below new submission in time for deadline 6 (D6), many thanks.

Amy & Martin

Dear Sirs.

Further to our previous submissions, please accept the below information into the planning inspectorate's examination. (D6)

In reference to deadline 5 (D5) submissions by Denbighshire County Council it seems of note that the Local Authority is no longer in a position to represent residents in an effective manner.

None of the content in Denbighshire Councils recent submission offers any confidence to ourselves that we are being fairly and properly represented, also we do not feel that the Authority will have the necessary staffing levels or expertise to effectively monitor and enforce the conditions of any future DCO.

The county of Denbighshire has never seen an infrastructure project for renewable energy of this scale (footprint of the proposed OnSS) yet there now seems to be no significant or effective local representation on behalf of affected residents.

Is it the case that a consultancy firm or other specialist advisors (such as legal) should be appointed by the Local Authority in order to ensure a fair and transparent process rather than having to review late submissions with one sentence comments and hope that the conditions of any future DCO are abided by? We find Denbighshire's lacklustre response unacceptable as the LA is partly responsible for reviewing a nationally significant development which cuts directly through the centre of the northern end of Denbighshire.

It seems that RWE and Dalcour MacLaren may now have 'free reign' to run roughshod over any potential objections from residents affected by the construction of the OnSS, also that negotiations on screening and construction hours will be left to local householders and the applicant to resolve.

^{*}submission was late

^{*}makes reference to lack of available resources

^{*}comments lack substance

^{*}reference is made to the 'hope' of having an Officer attend future scheduled meetings

We have discussed this at length within our household and have concluded that we had no other option but to place our property for sale on the open market (currently live) as the area in and around our home will be affected and disturbed in such a way it will no longer be acceptable by ourselves to reside there.

The applicant has noted that we may be eligible to make a claim pursuant to S10 Compulsory Purchase Act 1965, Part 1 Land Compensation Act 1973 or S152 (3) of the Planning Act 2008 (DR5.17) Therefore we propose that RWE or it's representatives commence discussions with ourselves in order to resolve the matter without the need for extensive and costly legal representation for both sides.

Perhaps the applicant or an acquiring authority could propose to purchase our land and property then compensate accordingly for costs associated with home loss / disturbance or potential blight. Ten years plus of significant construction works (including in rapid succession the BP Mona project) was certainly not something that we envisaged when purchasing our semi-rural property nor was anything of its nature confirmed in our conveyancing survey. We feel it is unacceptable that we will be required to suffer injuriously should we not relocate and therefore believe we are left with no other option.

Yours Faithfully,
Amy Evans & Martin Griffiths